-1	

			1
1 2	EASTERN DISTRICT		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
4	Plaintiff,	No. 09-CR-089-RHW	
5	vs. )	November 18, 2010 Spokane, Washington	
6	TIMOTHY A. SHELLY,	Transcript of: Sentencing Hearing	
7	Defendant.	Someonoring modering	
8			
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT H. WHALEY SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
10			
11	APPEARANCES:		
12 13	Assistant United States Attorney		
13	Spok	ane, WA 99210-1494	
15		H. Rubin	
16	Federal Defenders of Eastern		
17		h 10 Post, Suite 700 ane, WA 99201	
18			
19			
20			
21		a Kinney Clark, RPR, CSR	
22	Unit	ed States District Courthouse Box 700	5
23	(509	ane, WA 99210 ) 458-3433	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Proceedings reported by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		
	I		

Г

(November 18, 2010; 11:30 a.m.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have <u>United States of</u>

<u>America v. Timothy Shelly</u>, Case No. CR09-089. Time set for sentencing hearing.

THE COURT: Let me see if I'm correct on where we are. We have a plea agreement that asks the Court to sentence to 12 years. Either party can withdraw if I don't. I'm going to sentence to 12 years in accordance with the agreement.

It seems that there's an agreement between the parties as to the terms of supervised release. So the only thing I understand that needs to be argued is the term of supervised release, and the government is asking for life and the defense is asking for ten years. Did I summarize that correctly?

MS. RUBIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I don't need to hear anything about the sentence. I'll go along with the plea agreement. So let me just hear about the supervised release. I doubt there's much to say. You want as long as you can get, and you think ten years is appropriate. But — and I'll hear from you. I'm not trying to cut you off.

MS. LISTER: Your Honor, I just think that this is a particularly egregious case because the defendant misrepresented who he was, enticed a young girl to talk to him about sex, and then actually traveled here to the Eastern District of Washington to have sex with her.

I think what's also difficult in this case is that after the little girl had said to him -- you know -- that the cops knew about this, he still continued his activity. So he doesn't seem to be someone who is deterred, and that's a concern. So I think that the safest course is a life term of supervised release because the risk is that he will do this again and that another child will be victimized. And, of course, once a child is victimized, that stays with them for the rest of their life. And I think that in this case, his conduct should be something that is supervised for the rest of his life.

THE COURT: All right. Come on up, Mr. Shelly and Ms. Rubin.

MS. RUBIN: Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. RUBIN: Your Honor, just for the record, we have reviewed the pre-sentence investigation report in this case. We have no objection. We did provide the Court, as well as all the parties, a copy of a video that was prepared by Mr. Peven, in my office, as well as Mr. Caballero. They interviewed Mr. Shelly's sisters and met with his wife while they were able to travel to Michigan. In addition, we did provide a letter that was submitted by Mr. Shelly's wife. So I hope the Court was able to -- oh -- and plus the report by Dr. Wert.

Your Honor, this is a very difficult case. It's difficult for a number of reasons. There is no minimizing the conduct in

this case, and Mr. Shelly would be the first to tell you that.

Certainly, I think that — in allowing us to provide the Court with the video, I think it gives some indication as to Mr. Shelly's upbringing, some of the things he went through as a child, and some of the things he continued going through as an adult. One thing that is very clear with Mr. Shelly is the support and love that he has from his sisters and his family. And that has continued from the moment of his arrest and will continue while he serves out a very lengthy sentence that this Court will impose.

It has not been an easy year and a half for Mr. Shelly because, of course, as this Court knows, this case started long ago. The allegations and the behavior started in 2005, and I believe by Labor Day or November, where everything had happened by then, and then, of course, the investigation ensued. It took about four years for this case to come over to the Eastern District of Washington. And during that time, it was not an easy time for Mr. Shelly, always wondering: Is this the day that someone is going to arrest me? No one has come to talk to me about this case. No one has interviewed me about this case. So, in essence, for Mr. Shelly, this case has been going on for almost six years.

He has spent the last year and a half in Spokane County Jail, and I think he would tell you that there are some things that have happened to him over the last year and a half that have almost been a blessing in disguise. He has actually been able to control his diabetes. Spokane County Jail has been wonderful in their treatment of his diabetes. But spending time, especially a year and a half, in a county jail is not easy for anyone. Spokane County Jail has a very lengthy lockdown situation that they've been going through for a number of months. Mr. Shelly has also been very far from his family, has not been able to have visits the way other people who are from this area would have that opportunity. So the last year and a half has really provided him with time to think about this case, think about the future, what goals he has, and what he intends to do to make sure that this never happens.

Although this case is egregious, and there is no dispute about that, what is interesting about this case, and I think which Dr. Wert presents to in his report, is the fact that Mr. Shelly really presents a low risk of recidivism. This is not a man who was looking at child pornography on a daily basis. This is not someone who was downloading child pornography. He met a young woman. And albeit a horrible, horrible decision to engage in a relationship with this person, he met her on line in an adult chat room. And they met; and shortly after meeting, he did indicate -- you know -- she knew of his age. This was not a situation where he continued to troll websites that had -- you know -- young victims on it. He met her in an adult chat room. He was looking to meet people on line. He was not looking to

1 meet girls that were 12, 13 on line. He was looking for relationships on line. It was a horrible decision. But I think when you look at Mr. Shelly, you look at who he is, you look at 3 his prior relationships, I think what was evident at that time 5 was this was a man who was -- first of all, he's very, very shy. I know it's going to be difficult for him to address the Court 7 because he is so shy. He doesn't like public speaking, and I know that's very hard for him. He did not engage in many serious relationships. He met a woman. They married. their marriage was basically a marriage between friends. 10 think that the intimacy was gone shortly after their marriage. 11 They have remained good friends and continue to love one another. But Mr. Shelly was looking to engage in a 13 relationship. And, frankly, when you are shy, when you are 14 15 someone who isn't able to meet people very easily, the computer is almost a safe place. And so he began to meet people on line 16 because, on line, he didn't have to be shy. He could be 17 himself, and he wasn't going to be judged. And as I said, he 18 met this young woman. And it was a horrible, horrible decision; 19 a lapse of judgment I know that he regrets every single day. 20 21 Now, we have many clients that come before this Court; and I know this Court has often indicated that although people say 22 they're sorry, it's probably more that they're sorry they got 23 caught. But Mr. Shelly has been living with this case for six 24 years. And I think for him, it's almost a relief to be able to 25

resolve this case, to be able to move forward with his life.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And moving forward with his life, we're talking 12 years or -- you know -- now what may be ten and a half by the time you figure good time and the time he's served -- ten years in custody. And we're asking for ten years of supervision. And when you consider all the time that this case has been ongoing, it's going to have lasted him almost a quarter of a century -- 25 years by the time all is said and done, if the Court follows our recommendation.

I would certainly understand the Court's perspective and certainly the government's perspective if this was a man who had continued in the behavior between the time that the computer was turned over to authorities and the time that he was ultimately arrested, but nothing happened. There's no indication that he was ever involved in anything else like this. It happened one time. He learned his lesson. And I certainly think that the four years between at the time of the computer being turned over, knowing that authorities had this information, knowing that there had been, obviously, interviews with the victim, and between his arrest I think are just very indicative of the fact that this man can change. He has already demonstrated the ability to rehabilitate, to be deterred. In ten years, plus the time he serves in prison, he is going to receive an exorbitant amount of treatment. I would find it highly unlikely he would not receive treatment in prison because, of course, with such a

lengthy sentence, he will have the ability to qualify for whatever treatment BOP deems appropriate and to probably complete it within a very -- I mean, probably short -- long before he has completed his sentence. And then he will, of course, have treatment as recommended by the probation office with regard to his conditions of release as a part of his supervised release. And so, frankly, in 20 years, I think that it would be very, very clear whether or not he is a risk of reoffending, which I think he's already demonstrated he is not.

The one thing that I will note for the record that's been very -- just, I think, inspiring with Mr. Shelly is that although the year and a half has been extremely difficult for him, although it has been very hard to be so far away from his family, I have never, ever, ever had a conversation or met with Mr. Shelly when he is not just completely upbeat. He always has accepted responsibility for his actions in this case; and he has always been ready to move forward with his life, knowing that he has a significant amount of treatment ahead of him.

There is not one day that has gone by that he has not felt just remorse and sadness for the damage and the hurt that he has caused the victim, to her family, to his family. But I think what's important is that you can do your time two ways. You can go in to do your time and just treat it as dead time, you're not going to take advantage of anything, you're going to sit there

and be angry with the system, or you can do your time the way Mr. Shelly intends to do his time, which is to say: Hey, look. I have this opportunity ahead of me. I'm going to take advantage of every single thing that the BOP has to offer because, at the end of this, I am going to come out a better person. I am going to show everybody that I can succeed. And I'm going to be successful because I'm going to do what it takes to move forward with my life and put this matter behind me and never repeat this type of behavior again.

We cannot change the past. We cannot change the damage that has been done. And I assure you that Mr. Shelly — if he could apologize to the victim and to her family in court today, he absolutely would. But he feels huge amounts of regret and huge amounts of remorse. And that is a sincere and heartfelt feeling that he has had since the moment I met him. We cannot change the past. But I can assure this Court that he will use the next ten and a half years to change his future. And I assure this Court that he will use whatever time on supervised release that this Court deems appropriate to continue making strides in his life, to continue being a better person, because I believe that he has the qualities to do that. I believe he's already demonstrated that. And I believe that ten years is sufficient for a situation like we are faced with today.

THE COURT: Anything you'd like to say, Mr. Shelly?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. There's nothing to

excuse the position that I find myself in today. I've been in custody for over a year, and there's not one day that has passed that I do not think about what I have done and how remorseful I am for my action. I cannot change what has happened, but I assure this Court that it will never happen again.

The Court has had an opportunity to see a bit about my background, so I will not repeat what the Court has already heard. I was never a social person, nor was I a person who ever had that many relationships. When my marriage ended, I found myself lonely, looking for friendships, with the possibility of relationships. I am shy and have always shied away from social settings.

The computer opened up a whole new world for me. I met people from all over, and I felt like I had friends. No one on the Internet judged me, and I could be myself.

When I met the victim on the Internet, it was happenstance. I never once looked for younger girls. I met the victim, and we became friends. I understand why this relationship was wrong. But at the time, I was lonely; and we cared about each other. It was a horrible lapse of judgment, and I know this. I can only imagine what she and her family have gone through, and that is something I will live with for the rest of my life. I am so sorry for what I have put the victim through, her family through, and my family through.

There are consequences for my actions, and I am prepared

```
1
  for the sentence this Court will impose. I ask the Court to
  follow the plea agreement, and I also ask this Court to consider
  ten years of release. I will not ever reoffend. And I feel
3
  that what speaks the strongest to this is the fact of the four
5
   years between the police taking my computer and when I was
             I give the Court my word that I will follow every
7
   condition and successfully complete supervision. I plan to take
   every opportunity, treatment, employment, and classes in prison
9
   to better myself so I can live a successful life upon my
   release.
10
11
             THE COURT: Did you go over the pre-sentence report
  with Ms. Rubin?
12
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Did you have any other changes or
14
15
   additions you wanted to make?
             THE DEFENDANT: No. No, sir.
16
             MS. RUBIN: Your Honor -- and one thing I forgot to
17
  mention is that, number one, we would ask the Court to recommend
18
   a facility called Milan. It's about 45 minutes from Detroit.
19
20
             THE COURT: How do you spell that?
21
             MS. RUBIN: M-i-l-a-n. That would be the closest
   facility to his family. And obviously, the BOP will determine
22
   whether he's eligible. I don't know at this point. But that
23
   would be the closest facility. And, second, if the Court would
24
25
  be willing to recommend the RDAP program as well. There are
```

obviously some indications in the PSR with regard to substance abuse. And I think that Mr. Shelly could certainly benefit from that as well in prison.

THE COURT: Mr. Shelly, the only thing that's really contested today is how long to put you on supervised release.

I'm going to put you on lifetime supervised release, but I want to talk to you about that.

I want you -- and I'm going to put a notation in the file. And if I'm still here, you'll come back to me. If I'm gone to my great reward, whoever took my place will have your supervised release. And when you get pushing out to ten years of it and you have no trouble, I want you to contact a lawyer or the probation office and tell them that I said that you should come back before the Court to see if it should be reduced in length because it's a great administrative burden on the government to have somebody on supervised release for life. And it's certainly justified if the record justifies it, but I don't know -- if you've successfully gone through up to ten years, it would be my belief that I would look at the record then; and if I didn't see anything that surprised me, I would probably terminate you early.

So when you get out to close to ten years of your supervised release, there will be a notation in my court file, and there should be one in Ms. Rubin's file and in the probation file, that the Court asked you -- I can't schedule it today,

obviously. So it will be up to you to do that. But this record -- I'll have Ms. Clark type up my remarks and give it to Ms. Rubin and the probation office and then my file. And between those different people, someone ought to be able to come up and remember what I said today. And what I'm saying is that your crime is sufficient for me -- there was a victim. know if there are any others or not. I'm not worried about it because it's an agreed sentence, and I'm not trying to judge that. But 13-year-old girls and people your age that -- there's just not much excuse for it, and it is dangerous. So I don't mind you being subject to restrictions for your life if they're necessary. But I also think if you make it through ten years and you have not had anything happen in that ten years that raised any red flags about continued supervision, I would consider an early release at the ten-year point. So that will be in the record, and then you can take advantage of that if you want.

1

3

5

7

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to impose the conditions of supervised release that have been agreed to.

Ms. Rubin -- her remarks sound like me sometimes when I'm trying to talk to somebody. You can either go into this prison system -- which is going to be difficult for you. I mean, it's just a time that you can sort of deteriorate to a point that you're not much use to anything when you get out. Or you can use the time by keeping your head, thinking about this is not

the end. And whatever programs you can participate in, whatever educational opportunities you can take advantage of, whatever recreational things you can do that are healthy — because there's a lot of opportunity to waste your time and get involved in worse things in prison if you want to. Unless you take a positive decision not to, that's where you're going to end up.

If you do that, with the type of support that it sounds like you've got from the people in your background, and with the help of the probation department that's going to kind of structure you for a while, trying to get back on your feet, and using whatever tools are available then to get you back employed and that sort of thing, then you've got a life to lead; and then you can — you can get this behind you. But if you don't do that, if you kind of waste your time, I believe when you get out, it's going to be only worse.

Do you have any questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. I would agree with what you've just said, though. I absolutely am looking forward to putting it all behind me and taking everything as positive as I can make it.

THE COURT: I'm going to give you just a little piece of -- I mean, you're a captive audience. You couldn't escape my remarks if you wanted to. But I did something that I never thought I could do. I went to a competitive college, and I was scared to death that I was going to flunk out. I went to an Ivy

League college. And I bought myself a ring within the first
month I was there to show that I'd gone there because I figured
I'll be there about six months; and I'm the last guy they let
in, and they're going to flunk me out. I made it through,
but -- and I didn't do that badly. But I never took a language
because -- you had a distribution requirement of taking a
language for two years, or you could take certain other courses.
So I took the other ones. And so I never spoke another
language, and I always felt like I had let myself down by not
having tried to learn another language.

When I got on the federal court, within about two weeks of

When I got on the federal court, within about two weeks of sitting up here, I saw how different the community was from the one that I had known before because, in other places besides Spokane, we've had an enormous influx of people from Spanish-speaking countries that haven't learned to speak English. So I decided to learn to speak Spanish.

My point is that from the time I started -- and I had an old brain. I mean, I was in my fifties. And by just doing it a little bit every day, I have learned to speak Spanish. And I could do this proceeding in Spanish. I'd make some mistakes, but I could do it. And I could live in a Spanish-speaking country, and I think I could get along.

You've got an opportunity to -- you've got almost ten years. You're going to be around lots of people with lots of different languages. There may be some people there that speak

```
Russian or Chinese or Vietnamese. There are certainly going to
1
  be Hispanics. One thing you could do is just try to learn to
   speak another language. It would keep your brain agile. It
3
   requires you to use processes that you don't use normally. They
5
   even say learning a new language helps people who might get
  Alzheimer's. It's just one small thing you could do. I mean,
  you could take up trying to develop knowledge about the solar
7
  system or something. There's books available -- but something
   that keeps you from just vegetating. And I say all that because
   your sentence is long enough that you will vegetate if you don't
10
   actively decide not to.
11
        Court's in recess.
12
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: There's the fine and the
13
   special penalty assessment.
14
15
             THE COURT:
                         I'm going to waive the fine, and I'm going
   to impose the $200 special penalty assessment.
16
17
             MS. LISTER: And the government moves to dismiss the
   count of production, Your Honor.
18
19
             THE COURT:
                        All right.
20
             MS. RUBIN:
                         Just two other things, Your Honor.
21
  he's not eligible for --
             THE COURT: You're still not through?
22
             MS. RUBIN:
                        I'm still not through.
23
24
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
                         You know, I've got a limited time to talk.
25
             MS. RUBIN:
```

```
As of January, I've got three months off, so --
1
2
        As far as the placement, if he's not eligible for Milan,
   could the Court put something in the judgment that says as close
3
   to Detroit, Michigan, as possible, since that's where his home
5
   is? And then, second of all, would the probation officer here
  be willing to forward the Court's notes to the probation office
7
   in Michigan? So that way, his supervising probation officer
   would be notified that within -- you know -- within the ten-year
   period, if he's doing well, he would be able to --
                         I might let you do that.
10
             THE COURT:
11
             MS. RUBIN:
                         I can do that. I'm happy to do that.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
13
             MS. RUBIN:
                        All right.
             MS. LISTER: Your Honor, we'd ask that you order the
14
15
   forfeiture pursuant to the plea agreement.
16
             THE COURT:
                        All right. So be it.
17
             MS. RUBIN:
                         Thank you.
             THE COURT: Court's in recess.
18
19
        (The proceedings recessed at 11:55 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	I, DEBRA KINNEY CLARK, do hereby certify:		
4	That I am an Official Court Reporter for the United		
5	States District Court at the Eastern District of Washington;		
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken on the date		
7	and at the time and place as shown on page 1 hereto; and		
8	That the foregoing proceedings are a full, true and		
9	accurate transcription of the requested proceedings, duly		
10	transcribed by me or under my direction.		
11	I do further certify that I am not a relative of,		
12	employee of, or counsel for any of said parties, or otherwise		
13	interested in the event of said proceedings.		
14	DATED this 29th day of November, 2010.		
15			
16			
17			
18	/s/Debra Kinney Clark		
19	Official Court Reporter		
20	United States District Court Eastern District of Washington		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			